From: Marcia K McNutt <mcnutt@usgs.gov> Sent: Wed, 4 Aug 2010 15:10:31 To: GS FOIA 0105 <foia0105@usgs.gov> Subject: Fw: NIST uncertainty estimate

Dr. Marcia McNutt

Director

US Geological Survey

12201 Sunrise Valley Drive, MS 100

Reston, VA 20192

(703) 648-7411

(703) 648-4454 (fax)

(571) 296-6730 (cell)

mcnutt@usgs.gov

www.usgs.gov

---- Forwarded by Janet N Arneson/DO/USGS/DOI on 08/04/2010 03:10 PM ----

From: "Wereley, Steven T." <wereley@purdue.edu>

To: ira leifer <ira.leifer@bubbleology.com>

Cc: "Espina, Pedro I." <pedro.espina@nist.gov>, Bill Lehr

<bill.lehr@noaa.gov>, Juan Lasheras <lasheras@ucsd.edu>, Marcia McNutt

<mcnutt@usgs.gov>, "pete@gso.uri.edu" <pete@gso.uri.edu>, Alberto Aliseda <aaliseda@u.washington.edu>, James J Riley

<Savas@newton.berkeley.edu>,

Paul Bommer <pmbommer@mail.utexas.edu>, "Gallagher, Patrick D." <patrick.gallagher@nist.gov>, "Kimball, Kevin A."

Date: 05/26/2010 08:30 PM

Subject: RE: NIST uncertainty estimate

Getting a hold of a flow that's oil for a long time would give us a worst-case estimate of the oil release. Given the aerobics Bill and others had to go through to get what we've got now, I'm not hopeful for getting those images...

Steve Wereley, Professor of Mechanical Engineering
Birck Nanotechnology Center, Room 2019, 1205 West State Street
Purdue University

West Lafayette, IN 47907

phone: 765/494-5624, fax: 765/494-0539

web page: http://engineering.purdue.edu/~wereley

From: ira leifer [mailto:ira.leifer@bubbleology.com]

Sent: Wednesday, May 26, 2010 4:58 PM

To: Wereley, Steven T.

Cc: Espina, Pedro I.; Bill Lehr; Juan Lasheras; Marcia McNutt;
pete@gso.uri.edu; Alberto Aliseda; James J Riley; Franklin Shaffer;
Savas@newton.berkeley.edu; Paul Bommer; Gallagher, Patrick D.; Kimball,
Kevin A.; Boehm, Jason; Wright, John D.; Johnson, Aaron; Moldover, Michael
R.

Subject: Re: NIST uncertainty estimate

Hi Steve,

Only if you assume that the flux is representative based on the 1.5 cycles recorded. True one could make that assumption. But . . .

BP was streaming (decent quality) video this AM from the riser which looked largely unchanging over the three hours I had it in the corner of my desktop. I would propose using that data for an upper estimate and applying Pedro's calculation to get the uncertainty.

Warmest regards,

Ira

On May 26, 2010, at 1:52 PM, Wereley, Steven T. wrote:

Hi all. In a moment of calm I was reflecting on our conversation this afternoon. Doesn't Pedro's uncertainty analysis give us a route to calculating some kind of upper bound? If the lower bound is x and the uncertainty is 40%, x/0.4 gives us the expected value and x/0.8 gives us the upper bound, to 95% confidence interval. If that isn't the case, then what does the uncertainty mean?

Steve Wereley, Professor of Mechanical Engineering

Birck Nanotechnology Center, Room 2019, 1205 West State Street

Purdue University

West Lafayette, IN 47907

phone: 765/494-5624, fax: 765/494-0539

web page: http://engineering.purdue.edu/~wereley

From: Espina, Pedro I. [mailto:pedro.espina@nist.gov]

Sent: Wednesday, May 26, 2010 11:24 AM

To: Bill Lehr

Cc: Juan Lasheras; Marcia McNutt; pete@gso.uri.edu; Alberto Aliseda; James

J Riley; Franklin Shaffer; ira leifer; Savas@newton.berkeley.edu; Paul

Bommer; Wereley, Steven T.; Gallagher, Patrick D.; Kimball, Kevin A.;

Boehm, Jason; Wright, John D.; Johnson, Aaron; Moldover, Michael R.

Subject: Re: NIST uncertainty estimate

Bill.

Enclosed the NIST uncertainty estimate for the PIV estimation of the leak on top of the BOV.Bottom line: whatever the PIV guys say +/- 40% (see final page). Because the gas/oil ratio dominates the uncertainty, similar values are likely for PIV estimates at other leak sites.

I am yet to respond to the questions of Ira and Peter, but I will look at those now.

Pedro

On 5/26/10 9:59 AM, "Bill Lehr" <bill.lehr@noaa.gov> wrote: Attached is mydraft report to the FRTG

•Please send corrections to me as soon as possble

- •Juan, your ppt will be included as an appendix
- Pedro, I put you old version in as a placeholder because the new one was not displaying properly. Perhaps you could send it to me as a pdf file?
- Jim, Alberto, and Omer, I need you bio's

Pedro I. Espina, Ph.D.

Program Analyst

Program Office, Office of the Director

Tel: +1 301 975 5444

Marine Sciences Institute
University of California
Santa Barbara, CA 93106-5080 USA
(805)893-4931 (Tel)
http://www.bubbleology.com

OFF CAMPUS OFFICE - Preferred for ship/Fax/mail

6740 Cortona Dr, UCSB Engineering Research Center Ocean Engineering Laboratory, Goleta CA 93117

Fax (805)893 4927